Progesterone Receptors: A Key for Neuroprotection in Experimental Stroke
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Progesterone receptors (PR) are expressed throughout the brain. However, their functional significance remains understudied. Here we report a novel role of PR as crucial mediators of neuroprotection using a model of transient middle cerebral artery occlusion and PR knockout mice. Six hours after ischemia, we observed a rapid increase in progesterone and 5α-dihydroprogesterone, the endogenous PR ligands, a process that may be a part of the natural neuroprotective mechanisms. PR deficiency, and even haploinsufficiency, increases the susceptibility of the brain to stroke damage. Within a time window of 24 h, PR-dependent signaling of endogenous brain progesterone limits the extent of tissue damage and the impairment of motor functions. Longer-term improvement requires additional treatment with exogenous progesterone and is also PR dependent. The potent and selective PR agonist Nestorone is also effective. In contrast to progesterone, levels of the neurosteroid allopregnanolone, which modulates γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptors, did not increase after stroke, but its administration protected both wild-type and PR-deficient mice against ischemic damage. These results show that 1) PR are linked to signaling pathways that influence susceptibility to stroke, and 2) PR are direct key targets for both endogenous neuroprotection and for therapeutic strategies after stroke, and they suggest a novel indication for synthetic progestins already validated for contraception. Although allopregnanolone may not be an endogenous neuroprotective agent, its administration protects the brain against ischemic damage by signaling mechanisms not involving PR. Collectively, our data clarify the relative roles of PR and allopregnanolone in neuroprotection after stroke. (Endocrinology 153: 3747–3757, 2012)

Progesterone is receiving much attention as a neuroprotective agent and is making its way into neurological practice (1–3). Indeed, two phase II trials have already assessed the beneficial effects of progesterone after traumatic brain injury (TBI) (4, 5), and their encouraging outcomes have spurred the launching of two large phase III multicenter trials (Protect III, 2011, at http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/NCT00822900 and SyNAPSe, 2011, at http://www.synapse-trial.com). Progesterone is also a promising candidate for neuroprotective strategies after stroke, a major cause of death and neurological disability (6). The only approved treatment for acute stroke is thrombolysis with tissue plasminogen activator, but it can be used in only 10% of patients (7, 8). Progesterone
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treatment may represent a new, safe, and effective therapy that can be offered to a higher percentage of patients. Indeed, experimental studies have demonstrated its efficiency in reducing lesion volume and improving functional recovery after either transient or permanent occlusion of brain arteries (9–11).

Progesterone modulates the transcription of target genes by interacting with intracellular progesterone receptors (PR), which belong to the nuclear receptor superfamily of transcription factors (12). In addition to uterus, ovaries, and mammary glands, PR are also expressed throughout the brain, and they are abundant not only within hypothalamic nuclei involved in the control of reproductive functions but also in cerebral cortex and subcortical structures (13, 14). However, the significance of their widespread distribution in the brain is unknown, and still, recently, brain PR have been qualified as the neglected ones (15).

That so little attention has been devoted to brain functions of PR may relate to the widely accepted assumption that neuroprotective effects of progesterone may be mainly mediated by its metabolite allopregnanolone. Indeed, the neuroprotective actions of progesterone can be mimicked by its metabolite allopregnanolone (16), which does not interact with PR, but instead potentiates membrane γ-aminobutyric acid type A (GABA<sub>A</sub>) receptors, the major inhibitory neurotransmitter receptors in the brain (17, 18). However, it has been reported that allopregnanolone may be back-oxidized to 5α-dihydroprogesterone (5α-DHP), which then interacts with PR, activates gene transcription, and regulates neuronal functions (19, 20). The signaling mechanisms involved in progesterone and allopregnanolone neuroprotection thus require clarification. A second possible reason why brain PR have been so much neglected may be the growing interest in new membrane receptor component 1 (PGRMC1), although their biological significance remains to be explored (21).

We hypothesize that PR may be key mediators of the neuroprotective effects of progesterone and may have a contributory role in mediating the effects of allopregnanolone after stroke. To test this hypothesis, we used PR-deficient mice (PR<sup>−/−</sup>) and compared them with PR<sup>+/−</sup> and PR<sup>+/+</sup> mice and checked their susceptibility to stroke. We also examined the therapeutic potential of PR as a direct drug target by administrating progesterone or the potent fourth-generation PR agonist Nestorone (22) or allopregnanolone after ischemia and reperfusion.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Mice were housed in a temperature-controlled room on a 12-h light, 12-h dark cycle with food and water ad libitum. Experimental protocols were approved by the Direction départementale de la protection des populations du Val-de-Marne, France, authorization number 94-345 to R.G., accredited establishment number 94-043-13). Experiments were performed in accordance with French ethical laws (Act 87–848; Ministère de l’Agriculture et de la Forêt) and the European Communities Council Directives of November 24, 1986 (86/609/EEC) guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals.

A breeding colony of PR<sub>lacZ</sub> mice was established in our animal facility. PR<sub>lacZ</sub> mice (C57BL6/129SvEv background) were generated by inserting the lacZ reporter and neomycin resistance (neo<sup>+</sup>) genes into exon 1. Their insertion site was chosen to place the lacZ reporter under the control of the endogenous PR gene promoter and to effectively disrupt the transcription of both PR isoforms (23). The PR<sub>lacZ</sub> mice are a phenocopy of the previously described PR-knockout (PRKO) mouse (24). Each mouse was identified for its PR genotype by using a validated genotyping protocol (25). Genomic DNA from mouse tails was extracted using direct PCR lysis reagent (Viagen Biotech, Euromedex, France). One microgram of DNA was subjected to PCR amplification using Tag DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Inc., Carlsbad, CA). PCR was performed by denaturing the DNA at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of amplification: 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. The following PR-specific primers were used: P1 (5′-TAG ACA GTG TCT TAG ACT CGT TGT TG-3′), P3 (5′-GAT GGG CAC ATG GAT GAA ATC-3′), and a lacZ-specific primer, lacZ (5′-CTT CAC CCA CCG GTA CCT TAC GCT TC-3′). The presence of primer-amplified PCR product was detected on agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide fluorescence. We observed the presence of a 590-bp DNA band for PR<sup>+/−</sup> mice (corresponding to the PR gene, P1/P3 primers), a 148-bp band for PR<sup>−/−</sup> mice (P1/lacZ primers), or both bands for PR<sup>+/−</sup> mice.

Anesthesia

Before surgery, adult male PR<sub>lacZ</sub> mice weighting 26–30 g were anesthetized with ketamine (50 mg/kg) and xylazine hydrochloride (6 mg/kg). Although the most commonly used anesthetic drugs may provide a certain degree of neuroprotection (25), ketamine, a noncompetitive antagonist of N-Methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, has the advantage over the others not to interact with GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (26). The choice of ketamine reduces potential interferences between the anesthetic drug and the treatments we are studying (progesterone and allopregnanolone).

Transient stroke model

Throughout surgery, body temperature was monitored by a rectal probe and maintained at 37 ± 0.5°C with a homeothermic blanket control unit (Harvard Apparatus, Edenbridge, Kent, UK). The middle cerebral artery (MCA) was occluded for 1 h with an intraluminal filament (27). After ligation of the left common carotid artery, a nylon monofilament coated with thermomelting glue (4 mm long, 190 µm diameter) was introduced through an arteriotomy performed on the external carotid artery and advanced into the internal carotid artery. Occlusion of the MCA was controlled by monitoring the cerebral blood flow within the MCA territory by laser Doppler flowmetry (Moor Instruments, France). Mice with less than a 50% drop in blood
flow were excluded from the studies. The filament was withdrawn 1 h after occlusion to allow reperfusion, and the common carotid artery ligature was also removed. Sham-operated mice underwent the same surgical procedure except that no filament was inserted. After surgery, the wound was sutured.

**In vivo steroid treatments**

To test the effect of steroid treatment after reperfusion, mice were randomly and blindly assigned to either progesterone (8 ng/kg; Sigma), allopregnanolone (8 mg/kg; Sigma), Nestorone (0.08 mg/kg; Population Council, Rockefeller University, New York, NY) or vehicle-treated (sesame oil; Sigma) group. All steroids (progesterone, allopregnanolone, and Nestorone) were initially dissolved in a small volume of ethanol and further diluted in sesame oil to obtain the desired final steroid concentrations. The vials containing the steroid solutions were placed in an incubator overnight to allow the evaporation of ethanol. The vehicle solution was prepared according to the same procedure (ethanol plus sesame oil) without steroids. Injections were given ip at 1, 6, and 24 h after MCA occlusion (MCAO) according to established neuroprotective protocols (9, 16). Mice in the vehicle group underwent the same experimental protocol, except that they received the same volume/weight of vehicle only. After treatments, mice were returned to their cage at 29 C with free access to food and water. Treated mice were killed at 48 h after MCAO.

**Analysis of infarct-size and edema**

Cerebral infarct volumes and areas were determined after triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) staining of brain sections (28, 29). Brains were cut into seven 1-mm-thick coronal sections using a MacIlwain tissue chopper (Mickle Laboratory Engineering, Gomshall, Surrey, UK). Slices were quickly immersed in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4) containing 2% TTC for 20 min at room temperature and were then stored in phosphate-buffered 4% paraformaldehyde (Acros, Noisy-le-Grand, France) overnight before analysis. The area of damaged unstained brain tissue was measured on the posterior surface of each slice using a computer image analysis system (NIH Image). To correct for brain swelling, each infarct area was multiplied by the ratio of the surface of the intact (contralateral) hemisphere to the infarcted (ipsilateral) hemisphere at the same level. Total volume of damaged tissue, expressed as cubic millimeters, was calculated by linear integration of the corrected lesion areas (30). Edema volume was calculated by computing the ratio of the volume of the infarcted to the intact hemisphere. The rationale for this method is that the accumulation of water, within the infarction, proportionally enlarges the infarcted hemisphere (30).

**Rotarod test**

Motor coordination of mice was evaluated by the time they remained on a constant-speed rotarod (10 revolutions/min). A trial ended when the animal fell off or gripped the device without attempting to walk. The day before MCAO, mice were trained in three trials to obtain stable baselines. Just before ischemia, the test was performed again, and the mean duration of three trials on the device was recorded, representing the preischemic value. On the day of killing, the mice were again tested on the rotarod, and the mean duration of three trials represented the posts ischemic value.

**Analysis of steroids by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS)**

Six hours after MCAO, brain and plasma levels of progesterone, 5α-DHP, and allopregnanolone were determined by GC/MS according to a validated protocol (31, 32). Corticosterone was measured in mice plasma. Steroids were extracted from tissues and plasma with methanol, and internal standards were added for steroid quantification: 2 ng [2H8]5α-DHP (CDN Isotopes) for 5α-DHP, 2 ng 19-norprogesterone (Steraloids, Newport, Rhode Island) for progesterone and allopregnanolone, and 10 ng [2H3]corticosterone (CDN Isotopes, Sainte Foy La Grande, France) for corticosterone. Unconjugated and conjugated steroids were separated by a previously described solid-phase extraction and a recycling procedure (33). The fraction

![FIG. 1. Influence of PR disruption on infarct volume and areas at 24 h after MCAO. A, Successive 1-mm-thick coronal brain sections of representative PR+/+ , PR−/−, and PR−/+ mice stained with TTC (viable tissue in red; infarcted tissue appears pale). Scale bar, 5 mm. B, Quantitative analysis of total infarct volumes calculated from brain sections. C, Areas of damaged brain tissue on successive brain sections. Data represent means ± SEM; n = 14–18 per group. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01 as compared with PR−/+ mice after one-way (B) or two-way (C) ANOVA (PR genotype × brain section level).](image-url)
containing the unconjugated steroids was filtered and further purified and fractionated by HPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA). Three fractions were collected from the HPLC system: the first containing 5α-DHP, silylated with a mixture of N-methyl-N-trimethylsilyl trifluoroacetamide, ammonium iodide (NH$_4$I), and dithioerythritol (1000:2:5, vol/wt/wt), the second containing progesterone and allopregnanolone derivatized with heptafluorobutyric anhydride, and the third with corticosterone also derivatized with heptafluorobutyric anhydride. GC/MS analysis of the steroid derivatives was performed using an AS 3000 autosampler, a Focus GC gas chromatograph, and a DSQII mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific San Jose, CA). Identification of each steroid derivative was supported by its retention time during GC and two diagnostic ions resulting from electron impact ionization. Quantification was performed in single ion monitoring mode according to the major diagnostic ion (Supplemental Table 1, published on The Endocrine Society’s Journals Online web site at http://endo.endojournals.org).

**RNA isolation and quantitative PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from cerebral tissues using Trizol reagent (Life Technologies, Invitrogen, Saint Aubin, France). The concentration and purity of total RNA was determined by measuring the OD at 260 and 280 nm. All samples were precipitated with ethanol and then dissolved in distilled water to a concentration of 1 μg/μl, and their quality was verified by gel electrophoresis. Total RNA was subjected to deoxyribonuclease I (Invitrogen, Saint Aubin, France) treatment to remove residual genomic DNA. cDNA templates for PCR amplification were synthesized from 2 μg total RNA using a SuperScript II ribonuclease H reverse transcriptase kit (Invitrogen) for 60 min at 42 °C in the presence of random hexamer primers. Primers for quantitative PCR were designed using Primer Express version 3.0, Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA) (Supplemental Table 2). Cyclophilin-B was chosen as the housekeeping gene. The relative gene expression of the mRNA of PR was determined using the ABI PRISM 700 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems) and the standard curve method (34). Specificity of PCR amplification and the absence of dimers were confirmed by melting curve analysis. In addition, PCR products were verified with high-resolution gel electrophoresis. Linearity and efficiency of PCR amplification were validated before quantification (Supplemental Table 2). Both parameters were assessed using standard curves generated by six increasing amounts of cDNA over a 10-fold range. The relationship between the cycle threshold (C$_T$) and the logarithm of the cDNA concentration was determined from the correlation coefficient (r) and the amplification efficiency. Correlation coefficients confirm the linearity, and amplification efficiency was calculated using the equation $E = (10^{(-1/S)}) - 1 \times 100$ (35), where $E$ is amplification efficiency. The linearity and efficiency of the amplification of PCR assays among different templates permitted accurate quantification. Each reaction mixture contained 2 ng cDNA/μl reaction, 0.2 μM primers, and 1× Sybr Green (Applied Biosystems) in a final volume of 25 μl. PCR were performed in triplicate under optimized conditions: 95 °C at 10 min followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C for 15 sec and 60 °C for 1 min. The concentration of the target

![FIG. 2. Endpoint measures at 6 h after MCAO. A, Infarct volumes in PR$^+/+$ and PR$^-/-$ mice. Two-way ANOVA (PR genotype $\times$ brain region) revealed a significant effect of PR genotype ($P < 0.001$). ***, $P < 0.001$; **, $P < 0.01$; *, $P < 0.05$ as compared with PR$^+/+$ mice. B, Time spent on a rotarod before or 6 h after MCAO. ***, $P < 0.001$ as compared with preischemia performance; ###, $P < 0.01$ as indicated. C, Brain levels of progesterone, 5α-DHP, and allopregnanolone in PR$^+/+$ male mice analyzed by GC/MS (nanograms per gram of tissue). ***, $P < 0.001$; *, $P < 0.05$ as compared with control mice (Ctr); ###, $P < 0.001$; #, $P < 0.05$ as indicated. Data represent means $\pm$ SEM; $n = 6$ per group.](image-url)
genomes was calculated by referring \( C_T \) values in each sample with \( C_T \) values of the internal standard curve.

**Statistics**

Statistical analysis was performed by using Statistica version 9.1 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK). Comparisons between multiple groups were made by one-way or two-way ANOVA and followed by Newman-Keuls tests. Correlation between two continuous variables was determined by Pearson’s correlation test. \( P \) values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**(PR-dependent signaling of endogenous brain progesterone confers early protection after stroke)**

**PRKO mice exhibited an increased susceptibility to stroke damage**

To determine whether nuclear PR might play a role in the resistance of the brain to ischemic injury, we used adult male \( PR^{lacZ} \) mice, here referred to as wild-type \( PR^{+/+} \), heterozygous \( PR^{+/-} \), and homozygous knockout \( PR^{-/-} \) mice, generated by inserting the lacZ reporter and neomycin resistance genes into exon 1 of the PR gene to effectively disrupt its transcription (23). We used males because of their low and stable levels of circulating progesterone and because a protective treatment for stroke targeting the PR should also be applicable in men, who show a high risk and poor outcome when compared with women (36). The uterus and brain of \( PR^{+/-} \) mice have been reported to contain about half of the number of PR binding sites when compared with \( PR^{+/+} \) mice (24, 37).

Using quantitative PCR, we showed that PR mRNA expression is absent in \( PR^{-/-} \) mice and reduced by about 60% in cerebral cortex, subcortical regions, and hypothalamus of \( PR^{+/-} \) mice (Supplemental Fig. 1).

Transient focal cerebral ischemia was induced by occluding the left MCA during 1 h with an intraluminal filament followed by reperfusion (27). During MCAO, \( PR^{+/+} \), \( PR^{+/-} \), and \( PR^{-/-} \) mice showed similar reductions in cerebral blood flow recorded by laser Doppler flowmetry (respectively, 68.8 ± 3, 68.1 ± 2.8, and 72.7 ± 3.5%, means ± SEM, \( P = 0.47 \)).

Ischemic brain damage was first assessed at 24 h after MCAO by staining brain sections with TTC (Fig. 1A). The total infarct volume was increased by 31% in \( PR^{+/-} \) and by 46% in \( PR^{-/-} \) mice when compared with wild-type \( PR^{+/+} \) mice (Fig. 1, A and B). Similarly, infarct areas were significantly larger on successive 1-mm coronal brain sections (Fig. 1C).

To investigate whether PR-dependent neuroprotection may be an early event, brain damage was examined at 6 h after MCAO in \( PR^{+/+} \) and \( PR^{-/-} \) mice. As in the previous experiment, there was no difference in the reduction in blood flow between the two genotypes after MCAO, and there was no correlation between decrease in blood pressure and infarct volume. Although the total infarct volume was still small at this time, it was three times larger in \( PR^{-/-} \) mice than in \( PR^{+/+} \) mice. Moreover, infarct volumes were significantly larger in both the cortex and subcortical structures of \( PR^{-/-} \) mice (Fig. 2A). Areas of damage on successive brain sections, up to ~4 mm from Bregma, were also much greater in \( PR^{-/-} \) mice when compared with \( PR^{+/+} \) mice (not shown). Linear regression yielded a high positive correlation between ischemic brain damage and edema (\( r = 0.65 \); Pearson’s correlation \( P < 0.01 \)). There was, however, no correlation between infarct volume and weight of the mice (\( P = 0.6 \)). To assess neurological deficits, mice were tested on a rotating cylinder (rotarod), which functions as a treadmill and is widely used to assess genetic and drug effects on motor coordination. There was no difference between \( PR^{+/+} \) and \( PR^{-/-} \) mice in the time spent on the rotarod before ischemic injury. Motor func-

**FIG. 3.** Plasma levels of progesterone, 5α-DHP, allopregnanolone, and corticosterone as analyzed by GC/MS. Plasma was sampled from wild-type control mice (Ctr) and at 6 h after either sham operation or MCAO. ***, \( P < 0.001 \); ***, \( P < 0.01 \); *, \( P < 0.05 \) as compared with control; ###, \( P < 0.001 \) as indicated by Newman-Keuls tests after one-way ANOVA. Data represent means ± SEM; \( n = 5 \) per group.
Strokes were altered after MCAO in both groups, but they were more impaired in PR−/− than in PR+/+ mice (Fig. 2B). At this early stage, no correlation was observed between rotarod performance and infarct volume (Pearson’s correlation P = 0.19).

**Stroke induced an increase in the levels of the two neurosteroids progesterone and 5α-DHP, both endogenous ligands of PR**

The above results showed that PR inactivation results in increased vulnerability of the brain to ischemic damage. In addition, they pointed to a role of endogenous brain neurosteroids progesterone and 5α-DHP, which were about 10 times lower than those of progesterone and 5α-DHP (Fig. 2C). Part of the progesterone present in brain tissue may be of adrenal origin, because plasma levels of the hormone were increased in response to both sham surgery and MCAO (Fig. 3). Consistently, plasma levels of adrenal corticosterone were up-regulated in response to surgery stress (Fig. 3). However, ischemic injury selectively stimulated progesterone synthesis within the brain, because brain but not plasma levels were significantly higher after MCAO than after sham surgery (Figs. 2C and 3). Moreover, the ratios of brain to plasma levels of progesterone and 5α-DHP were significantly increased after MCAO but not in response to sham surgery (Table 1). Up-regulation of the brain’s endogenous PR ligands (progesterone and 5α-DHP) may thus confer resistance to ischemic damage.

**Longer-term neuroprotection after stroke requires additional treatment with progesterone and is also PR dependent**

The presence of PR confers resistance to ischemic damage during the first 24 h. We then investigated whether a beneficial influence of PR can still be observed in PR+/+, PR−/−, and PR+/− mice at 48 h after MCAO. Although endogenous progesterone via PR did appear to protect the brain during the first 24 h of recovery, the damage continued to evolve during the next 24 h, rendering PR+/+, PR−/−, and PR+/− groups equally damaged at 48 h. Indeed, in contrast to the 6- and 24-h time points, no influence of the PR genotype on infarct volume and areas was observed (Fig. 4). These results suggest an acute and transient protective effect of endogenous PR activation, albeit insufficient to overcome the deleterious effects of ischemia on delayed cell death. Previous work has shown that treatment with progesterone after MCAO results in longer-term improvement of neurological outcomes (9). To confirm that the administration of exogenous progesterone can indeed provide longer-lasting protection against stroke injury, and to investigate whether its beneficial effects are PR dependent, PR+/+ and PR−/− mice received three injections of progesterone (8 mg/kg) at 1, 6, and 24 h after MCAO, according to a previously established neuroprotective treatment (9). Morphological and functional outcomes were examined at 48 h after MCAO. The total infarct volume and ischemic lesions in both cerebral cortex and subcortical structures were reduced by progesterone treatment in

### Table 1. Ratios of brain levels of progesterone, 5α-DHP, and allopregnanolone to plasma levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Sham</th>
<th>MCAO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5α-DHP</td>
<td>3.30 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.40 ± 0.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allopregnanolone</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are shown means ± SEM; n = 5 per group. Brain levels are nanograms per gram, and plasma levels are nanograms per milliliter. *P < 0.05 as compared with the corresponding control group by Newman-Keuls tests after one-way ANOVA.

![FIG. 4. Endpoint measures at 48 h after MCAO. A, Total brain infarct volume in PR+/+, PR−/−, and PR+/− mice. There were no significant differences between the three groups. There was also no effect of the PR genotype on lesion volume in cerebral cortex and subcortical structures (not shown). B, The areas of damaged brain tissue measured on seven successive 1-mm-thick brain sections (section 3 = bregma level) were similar in PR+/+, PR−/−, and PR−/− mice. Data represent means ± SEM; n = 15 per group.](image-url)
but not in \(PR^{-/-}\) mice (Fig. 5A). Likewise, the administration of progesterone reduced areas of damage in the different brain areas only in \(PR^{+/+}\) mice (Fig. 5B). Motor coordination, when assessed on the rotarod, revealed a highly significant negative correlation with the infarct volume (Fig. 5C). Both \(PR^{+/+}\) and \(PR^{-/-}\) mice displayed functional motor deficits at 48 h after MCAO. Whereas progesterone treatment significantly improved the ability of \(PR^{+/+}\) mice to remain on the rotarod as compared with vehicle treatment, it was inefficient in \(PR^{-/-}\) mice (Fig. 5D). We also observed a strong positive correlation between infarct volume and brain edema, and progesterone treatment reduced brain edema in \(PR^{+/+}\) mice, but not in \(PR^{-/-}\) mice (Fig. 6, A and B). Thus, at 48 h after MCAO, the improvement of histological and functional outcomes by progesterone treatment is \(PR\) dependent.

The potent and selective \(PR\) agonist and contraceptive agent Nestorone is also neuroprotective

The identification of \(PR\) as a neuroprotective drug target opens new therapeutic indications for selective synthetic progestins, already validated for contraception or hormone therapy. Nestorone (16-methylene-17α-acetoxy-19-norpregn-4-ene-3, 20-dione) is a 19-norprogesterone derivative that shows high specific binding to \(PR\) and is about 100 times more potent than progesterone (22, 38). \(PR^{+/+}\) mice received three injections of Nestorone (only 0.08 mg/kg at 1, 6, and 24 h after MCAO), and neurological outcomes were examined at 48 h. When compared with oil treatment, Nestorone reduced the total infarct volume by 32% \((P < 0.01)\), the ischemic lesions in cerebral cortex and subcortical structures, respectively, by 22% \((P < 0.01)\) and 52% \((P < 0.01)\) and increased the time \(PR^{+/+}\) mice remained on the rotarod by 43% \((P < 0.05)\).

Relative role of \(PR\) in the neuroprotective effect of the neurosteroid allopregnanolone

The fact that progesterone does not reduce ischemic brain damage in \(PR^{-/-}\) mice and that Nestorone is also neuroprotective strongly suggested that the effects of progesterone may be mainly mediated by \(PR\) and not after conversion to its derivative allopregnanolone, which does not bind to \(PR\). Because 1) previous work

**FIG. 5.** Improvement of neurological outcomes at 48 h after MCAO requires treatment by with progesterone and is \(PR\) dependent. A, Reduction in infarct volume by progesterone. ***, \(P < 0.01\) as compared with control mice; ###, \(P < 0.001\); ##, \(P < 0.01\) as indicated. B, Infarct areas on successive brain sections of \(PR^{+/+}\) and \(PR^{-/-}\) mice. ***, \(P < 0.001\); *, \(P < 0.05\) as compared with the corresponding sections of progesterone-treated mice. C, Scatter plot and Pearson’s correlation analysis of infarct volume vs. rotarod performance \((r = -0.70; P < 0.001\); dotted lines delimit the 0.95 confidence interval). D, Effects of MCAO and progesterone treatment on the time mice remained on a rotarod. ***, \(P < 0.001\) compared with preischemia performance; ###, \(P < 0.001\) as indicated. Data represent means ± SEM; \(n = 16\) per group.
has shown that allopregnanolone has neuroprotective effects after stroke and 2) allopregnanolone can be converted back to 5α-DHP, which binds PR, we checked whether there may be a contributory role of PR in mediating the effects of allopregnanolone. If the protective effects of allopregnanolone are mediated via its conversion to PR-active 5α-DHP (19), they should no longer be observed in PR−/− mice. To test this possibility, PR+/+ and PR−/− mice received three ip injections of a neuroprotective dose of allopregnanolone (8 mg/kg) at 1, 6, and 24 h after MCAO (16), and neurological outcomes were examined at 48 h. Treatment with allopregnanolone markedly reduced total infarct volume, ischemic damage in cerebral cortex and subcortical structures, and the extension of lesions at different brain levels in both PR+/+ and PR−/− mice (Fig. 7C). Allopregnanolone also reduced brain edema independently of the genotype (Fig. 7D). The brain-protective effects of allopregnanolone were accompanied by improved functional outcomes evaluated on the rotarod, which were again independent of PR (Fig. 7D).

Discussion

Here, we identify PR as an important component of neuroprotective signaling after ischemic stroke. Both short-term neuroprotective effects of endogenous brain progesterone and longer-term neuroprotective effects of progesterone treatment indeed require the presence of PR.

In our experiments, deficiency of PR results in increased susceptibility of the brain to ischemic damage. Intriguingly, at 24 h after MCAO, brain damage was nearly as severe in heterozygous PR+/− mice, which lack only one allele of the PR gene, as in PR−/− mice. PR haploinsufficiency increases the vulnerability of brain cortical and subcortical regions to ischemic insult. This is a first example of PR haploinsufficiency, because decreased expression of the receptor in hypothalamus or uterus of PR+/− mice does not result in a particular reproductive phenotype (37). Moreover, only part of hypothalamic PR needs to be activated for high levels of sexual receptivity in females (39). Our results suggest that brain PR may be a limiting factor for reactive neuroprotective processes after ischemia.

Six hours after MCAO, we observed a specific increase (not observed in sham-operated mice) of cerebral levels of progesterone and 5α-DHP, contrasting with stable levels of allopregnanolone. These data suggest an activation of the cerebral biosynthesis of progesterone and its reduced metabolite after stroke. The up-regulation of the endogenous PR ligands, progesterone and 5α-DHP, may confer resistance to ischemic damage and is consistent with the observed neuroprotective role of PR early after stroke. Increased synthesis of progesterone and 5α-DHP within the central nervous system has previously been reported after traumatic injury and spinal cord injury (31, 40), and both steroids can be synthesized de novo from cholesterol by neurons and glial cells, thus qualifying as neurosteroids (41). The rapid activation of cerebral biosynthesis of PR ligands may be a part of endogenous neuroprotective mechanisms in response to lesions of the central nervous system, and it may contribute to the extended window of opportunity for progesterone treatment to prevent neuron loss after ischemic or TBI injury (3, 42).

Although endogenous progesterone protected the brain during the first 24 h of recovery, no differences between PR+/+ and PR−/− mice were observed in infarct size and motor coordination at 48 h after MCAO. These findings suggest that the reactive increase in brain steroidogenesis and endogenous PR activation in response to stroke are not sufficient for providing protection against ischemic brain damage for as long as 48 h and to overcome the deleterious effect of ischemia on delayed cell death. Our results show that longer-term neuroprotective effects require additional treatment with exogenous progesterone and the presence of PR.

We showed that progesterone specifically acts through PR. Indeed, treatment with progesterone is effective in
PR-deficient mice, and the potent and selective PR agonist Nestorone was also effective in wild-type PR+/+ mice. It is important to note that Nestorone improved neurological outcomes at a dose 100 times lower than progesterone, confirming the potency of this progestin and a key role of the PR in neuroprotection. Nestorone is a highly selective progestin derived from 19 nor-progesterone and exerts its activity at much lower doses than progesterone (22). Moreover, this progestin does not convert into 5α-DHP or allopregnanolone, and its action has been shown via the PR with a higher transactivation effect of the PR than progesterone itself. In addition, it was found that this progestin shows interesting properties in neurogenesis, similar to progesterone and superior to other progestins (43) as well as in myelin repair (44) justifying its testing in this stroke model. In addition, although progesterone is used in relatively high doses (8 mg/kg), the possibility to use a much lower dose (100-fold less) with Nestorone to induce the same effect on the stroke model represents a promising avenue for future therapeutic use.

Until now, there was a widely accepted assumption that neuroprotective effects of progesterone may be mainly mediated by its metabolite allopregnanolone, which does not bind to PR but activates membrane GABAA receptors (17, 18). Our results suggest that the biosynthesis of allopregnanolone in the brain is not sufficient for efficient neuroprotection because 1) stroke did not significantly affect brain levels of allopregnanolone and 2) neither endogenous allopregnanolone nor the treatment with an elevated dose of exogenous progesterone protected against ischemic brain injury in PR−/− mice.

We showed that treatment with allopregnanolone reduced brain edema and infarct volume independently of the genotype. The brain-protective effects of allopregnanolone were accompanied by improved functional outcomes evaluated on the rotarod, which were again independent of PR. These results demonstrate that allopregnanolone treatment can protect the brain against ischemic damage by signaling mechanisms not involving PR. This neuroprotection may implicate binding of allopregnanolone to membrane GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (17) or to the nuclear pregnane X receptor (45) or by its direct actions on mitochondria (46).

![FIG. 7. Improvement of neurological outcomes by allopregnanolone at 48 h after MCAO](image-url)
Although we demonstrate a key role of PR in the neuroprotective effects of progesterone, our results do not rule out an involvement of additional signaling pathways of progesterone. In addition to PR and GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors, progesterone-regulated neural responses may be mediated by putative membrane receptors such as the mPR (α-, β-, and γ-isoforms) and PGRMC1. However, it is very unlikely that a down-regulation of the alternative receptors contributes to the absence of progesterone responses in PRKO mice: 1) actions of allopregnanolone that are dependent on GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (47) are preserved in PRKO mice; 2) the expression of PGRMC1, previously known as 25-Dx, is up-regulated in PRKO mice (48); and 3) we have recently reported that the expression of mPR<sub>α</sub>, mPR<sub>β</sub>, and mPR<sub>γ</sub> in the central nervous system is similar in wild-type and PRKO mice (49).

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that 1) PR is an essential key for early endogenous neuroprotection, and it might serve as a pharmacological drug target for stroke therapy. With the success, in terms of safety and improved outcome, of the first two clinical trials of progesterone after TBI (4, 5), trials targeting PR may be realistic strategies to promote recovery after stroke. We also demonstrate that 2) allopregnanolone may not be an endogenous neuroprotective agent. However allopregnanolone treatment can protect the brain against ischemic damage by signaling mechanisms not involving PR.
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